IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC and INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Plaintiffs, v. LENOVO GROUP LTD., LENOVO HOLDING CO., INC., and LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC., Defendants.	\$
DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC and INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Plaintiffs, v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., LG DISPLAY CO., LTD., and LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC., Defendants.	\$
DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC AND INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC Plaintiffs, v. VIZIO, INC., Defendant.	\$ C.A. No. 13-cv-2112-RGA \$ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

PARAPOSEN DISCOVERY ORDER

This 30 day of 4, 2016, the Court having considered the parties' respective submissions and arguments regarding the discovery issues raised in Plaintiffs' letter,

IT IS ORDERED that within two weeks of this order, Defendants shall reduce the prior four for the '974 futent and five for the '370 patient.

SO ORDERED this 31 day of 4, 2016

The Honorable Richard G. Andrews

The Defendants should undentand that "prevalence in the art at the time of the asserted patrats" is not going to be the basis for evidence of prior art products that are not the asserted prior art.

Defendants should expect that I will be receptive to a further request to narrow the number of a secretal prior art often the close of export discovery; so, Defendants are encouraged to engage in that exercise without court intervention.